Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,385	WHEATLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James S Hogan	3752
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>James S Hogan</u> .	(3) Garron M. Hobson, Re	eg. No. 41,073.
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>05/12/2005</u>	Time: <u>11:00 EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 60-63		
Prior art documents discussed: United Stantes Paten Application Publication US 2003/0199421		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		·
		·
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 10/786,385

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Prior art was found that read on only 4 claims, claims 60-63, which were new claims added after the first Non-Final Rejection. Applicant has overcome all rejections with the Ammended Claims dated 04/18/2005, with the exception of claims 60-63. Applicant has aggreed without traverse to cancel claims 60-63 so that case will be in condtion for allowance.